

Shaw Lawyers Subpena 20, Including Garrison

Trial Shift Hearing Tuesday

Attorneys for Clay L. Shaw have filed subpoenas for 20 persons, including District Attorney Jim Garrison, in their effort to have Shaw's trial transferred out of New Orleans.

Garrison has charged Shaw with criminal conspiracy in the assassination of President John F. Kennedy.

Most of those subpoenaed are affiliated with local news media. Shaw's attorneys contend he cannot get a fair trial within 100 miles of New Orleans because of widespread publicity about the case.

DISTRICT Judge Edward A. Haggerty Jr., in issuing the subpoenas, served notice that any of the witnesses granting interviews to newsmen will be held in contempt of court.

All 20 were subpoenaed for a hearing before Judge Haggerty Tuesday which will determine whether the trial will be moved.

District Attorney Garrison has subpoenaed 20 persons, including Jim Garrison at the time Shaw was indicted, but not including the Daily States-Item, charged with the assassination of Shaw.

Among those subpoenaed are: Mort Sahl, whose address was listed as the D.A.'s of a night club entertainer. Sahl has been associated with Garrison in his assassination probe.

MURK LANE SITTNER, author of a book critical of the Warren Commission probe, has been associated with Garrison in his assassination probe.

Mort Sahl, whose address was listed as the D.A.'s of a night club entertainer. Sahl has been associated with Garrison in his investigation of the assassination in recent months.

Harry Kelleher, an attorney, was subpoenaed from the Bank of Commerce Trust Co. Kelleher, an attorney, has had no previous association with the case.

W. Ford Reese, 3810 Pitt Street, an attorney, has had no previous association with the case.

Ed Devenport, WWL radio, a newsmen.

George W. Healy Jr., editor of the States-Item and The Times-Picayune.

George W. Healy Jr., editor of the States-Item and The Times-Picayune.

George W. Healy Jr., editor of the States-Item and The Times-Picayune.

George W. Healy Jr., editor of the States-Item and The Times-Picayune.

George W. Healy Jr., editor of the States-Item and The Times-Picayune.

George W. Healy Jr., editor of the States-Item and The Times-Picayune.

George W. Healy Jr., editor of the States-Item and The Times-Picayune.

George W. Healy Jr., editor of the States-Item and The Times-Picayune.

George W. Healy Jr., editor of the States-Item and The Times-Picayune.

George W. Healy Jr., editor of the States-Item and The Times-Picayune.

George W. Healy Jr., editor of the States-Item and The Times-Picayune.

George W. Healy Jr., editor of the States-Item and The Times-Picayune.

George W. Healy Jr., editor of the States-Item and The Times-Picayune.

George W. Healy Jr., editor of the States-Item and The Times-Picayune.

George W. Healy Jr., editor of the States-Item and The Times-Picayune.

George W. Healy Jr., editor of the States-Item and The Times-Picayune.

George W. Healy Jr., editor of the States-Item and The Times-Picayune.

George W. Healy Jr., editor of the States-Item and The Times-Picayune.

George W. Healy Jr., editor of the States-Item and The Times-Picayune.

George W. Healy Jr., editor of the States-Item and The Times-Picayune.

George W. Healy Jr., editor of the States-Item and The Times-Picayune.

George W. Healy Jr., editor of the States-Item and The Times-Picayune.

the case. These 20 persons have been officially listed and subpoenaed, and I expressly forbid them giving any interviews concerning their forthcoming testimony to any news media whatsoever.

"I FURTHER forbid all attorneys for both sides from giving any resume or synopsis of what they hope or expect to prove with any or all witnesses.

"The proper forum for determination of the legal questions of whether a change of venue should or should not be granted is in open court, and nowhere else."

At next week's hearing the state will have an opportunity to call rebuttal witnesses of its own.

Also, Judge Haggerty has said he plans to call persons from the jury venire to question them as to whether publicity about the case had rendered them incapable of making an impartial decision.